

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/073,607	02/11	1/2002	Rozlyn A. Krajcik	4555-43U1	5919
570	7590	06/03/2004		EXAM	INER
AKIN GUN	MP STRAUS	S HAUER & F	KIM, JENNIFER M		
	MERCE SQUA			427777	Disco Mario
2005 MARKET STREET, SUITE 2200				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PHILADELPHIA PA 19103-7013			1617		

DATE MAILED: 06/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/073,607	KRAJCIK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jennifer Kim	1617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDONE.	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Fe	ebruary 2004.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 9-12 and 20-44 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) 9-12 and 20-30 is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 31-44 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	e withdrawn from consideration.					
_] The specification is objected to by the Examiner.] The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	·					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		• •				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		• •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary ((PTO 412)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te				
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

The amendment filed on February 26, 2004 have been received and entered into the application.

The indicated allowability of claim 31 (originally claim 2) is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Drug Launches, (6 June 1988). Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Applicants' election without traverse of claims drawn to a method of treating a disorder of the pilosebaceous apparatus of a mammal, comprising administering an insulin sensitivity increasing substance (i.e. biguanide), classified in class 514, subclass 635 is being examined. Accordingly, claims 9-12 and 20-30 are withdrawn from consideration.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 31- 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Drug Launches (6 June 1988).

Application/Control Number: 10/073,607

Art Unit: 1617

Drug Launches teaches chlorhexidine (biguanide compound) is commercially available in a liquid formulation of 150ml, for the stimulation of hair growth and prevention of hair loss.

The prior does not expressly teach the administering to the mammal (human) and the active agent to reach an affected area of pilosebaceous apparatus.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to employ chlorohexidine formulation taught by Drug Launches in mammal for the treatment of alopecia because chlorohexidine formulation is commercially available for the stimulation of hair growth. One would have been motivated to employ the chlorohexidine formulation for the treatment of alopecia in order to achieve the therapeutic benefit of stimulation of hair growth in a mammal having alopecia condition. Moreover, the active agent (biguanide compound) taught by Drug Launches obviously reaches an affected area of a pilosebaceous apparatus upon administration to effectively stimulate the hair growth as taught by Drug Launches. Absent any evidence to contrary, there would have been a reasonable expectation of success in treating alopecia by preventing hair loss in mammal with easy access commercially available product taught by Drug Launches. The pharmaceutical formulations, e.g., topical, oral are all deemed obvious since they are all within the knowledge of the skilled pharmacologist and represent conventional formulations.

Claims 38-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Drug Launches as applied to claims 31-37 above, and further in view of Lurie (U.S.Patent No. 6,075,005).

Application/Control Number: 10/073,607

Art Unit: 1617

The teachings of Drug Launches as applied as before.

Drug Launches does not teach the combination of chlorohexidine composition with STI, ARB or the activity-enhancing agent.

Laurie teaches anti-androgenic agents such as finasteride, spironolactone, flutamide or RU 58841 is useful for the treatment of hair growth or alopecia. (abstract).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine STI, ARB with biguanide compound taught by Drug Launch because all the components are well known individually for treating <u>alopecia</u>. It would be expected that the combination of components would treat <u>alopecia</u> conditions involving hair loss as well. The motivation for combining the components flows from their individually known common utility (see In re Kerkhoven, 205 USPQ 1069(CCPPA 1980)). Thus, the claims fail to patentably distinguish over the state of the art as represented by the cited references.

For these reasons the claimed subject matter is deemed to fail to patentably distinguish over the state of the art as represented by the cited references. The claims are therefore properly rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103.

None of the claims are allowed.

Any rejection of record not addressed herein is withdrawn.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer Kim whose telephone number is 571-272-0628. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 6:30 am to 3 pm.

Art Unit: 1617

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreenivasan Padmanabhan can be reached on 571-272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sreenivasan Padmanabhan Supervisory Examiner Art Unit 1617

Jmk May 28, 2004